





**S. CHO**  
**Evaluation of Instruction Program Report**  
 22F: POL SCI 30 DIS 1J: POLITICS & STRATEGY  
 No. of responses = 2  
 Enrollment = 20  
 Response Rate = 10%

1. Background Information:


1.1) Year in School:




1.2) UCLA GPA:



1.3) Expected Grade:



1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?



2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

- 2.1) Teaching Assistant Knowledge – The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.

		<p>n=2 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0</p>
--	--	--
- 2.2) Teaching Assistant Concern – The T.A. was concerned about student learning.

		<p>n=2 av.=8 md=8 dev.=1.41</p>
--	--	---
- 2.3) Organization – Section presentations were well prepared and organized.

		<p>n=2 av.=8 md=8 dev.=1.41</p>
--	--	---
- 2.4) Scope – The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.

		<p>n=2 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0</p>
--	--	--
- 2.5) Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.

		<p>n=2 av.=8 md=8 dev.=1.41</p>
--	--	---
- 2.6) Communication Skills – The teaching assistant had good communication skills.

		<p>n=2 av.=8 md=8 dev.=1.41</p>
--	--	---
- 2.7) Value – The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.








		<p>n=2 av.=8 md=8 dev.=1.41</p>
--	--	---
- 2.8) Overall – What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?

		<p>n=2 av.=8.5 md=8.5 dev.=0.71</p>
--	--	---

3. Your View of Section Characteristics:

- 3.1) Difficulty (relative to other courses)

Low		High	<p>n=2 av.=2 md=2 dev.=0</p>
-----	--	------	--

3.2)	Workload/pace was	Too Slow		Too Much	n=2 av.=2 md=2 dev.=0
3.3)	Integration of section with course was	Poor		Excellent	n=2 av.=2.5 md=2.5 dev.=0.71
3.4)	Texts, required readings	Poor		Excellent	n=2 av.=2.5 md=2.5 dev.=0.71
3.5)	Homework assignments	Poor		Excellent	n=2 av.=2.5 md=2.5 dev.=0.71
3.6)	Graded materials, examinations	Poor		Excellent	n=2 av.=2.5 md=2.5 dev.=0.71
3.7)	Lecture presentations	Poor		Excellent	n=2 av.=2.5 md=2.5 dev.=0.71
3.8)	Class discussions	Poor		Excellent	n=2 av.=2.5 md=2.5 dev.=0.71

## 4. Comments:


- 4.1) Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this teaching assistant and course.
- Really helpful and understanding!
  - Soonhong was a great TA! I'll be honest, I probably did not appreciate and take full advantage of my discussion sections, but I can say with confidence that each time Soonhong would help deepen our understanding- whether it be by walking us through H problems or making notes for us that helped explain the concepts! Overall, very helpful.

# Profile


Subunit: POL SCI  
 Name of the instructor: S. CHO  
 Name of the course: 22F: POL SCI 30 DIS 1J: POLITICS & STRATEGY  
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

## 2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:



## 3. Your View of Section Characteristics:







**S. CHO**  
**Evaluation of Instruction Program Report**  
 22F: POL SCI 30 DIS 1K: POLITICS & STRATEGY  
 No. of responses = 3  
 Enrollment = 20  
 Response Rate = 15%

1. Background Information:


1.1) Year in School:




1.2) UCLA GPA:



1.3) Expected Grade:



1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?



2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1) Teaching Assistant Knowledge – The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.

Very Low or Never 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 2 Very High or Always  
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

n=3  
av.=8.67  
md=9  
dev.=0.58

2.2) Teaching Assistant Concern – The T.A. was concerned about student learning.

Very Low or Never 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 Very High or Always  
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

n=3  
av.=8  
md=8  
dev.=1

2.3) Organization – Section presentations were well prepared and organized.

Very Low or Never 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 Very High or Always  
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

n=3  
av.=9  
md=9  
dev.=0

2.4) Scope – The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.

Very Low or Never 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 Very High or Always  
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

n=3  
av.=9  
md=9  
dev.=0

2.5) Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.

Very Low or Never 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 Very High or Always  
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

n=3  
av.=9  
md=9  
dev.=0

2.6) Communication Skills – The teaching assistant had good communication skills.

Very Low or Never 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 2 Very High or Always  
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

n=3  
av.=8.33  
md=9  
dev.=1.15

2.7) Value – The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.

Very Low or Never 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 2 Very High or Always  
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

n=3  
av.=8  
md=9  
dev.=1.73

2.8) Overall – What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?

Very Low or Never 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 2 Very High or Always  
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9








n=3  
av.=8.67  
md=9  
dev.=0.58

3. Your View of Section Characteristics:

3.1) Difficulty (relative to other courses)

Low 0 3 0 High  
1 2 3

n=3  
av.=2  
md=2  
dev.=0

3.2)	Workload/pace was	Too Slow		Too Much	n=3 av.=2 md=2 dev.=0
3.3)	Integration of section with course was	Poor		Excellent	n=3 av.=2.67 md=3 dev.=0.58
3.4)	Texts, required readings	Poor		Excellent	n=2 av.=2.5 md=2.5 dev.=0.71 ab.=1
3.5)	Homework assignments	Poor		Excellent	n=3 av.=2.67 md=3 dev.=0.58
3.6)	Graded materials, examinations	Poor		Excellent	n=1 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0 ab.=2
3.7)	Lecture presentations	Poor		Excellent	n=3 av.=2.67 md=3 dev.=0.58
3.8)	Class discussions	Poor		Excellent	n=3 av.=2.67 md=3 dev.=0.58

## 4. Comments:


- 4.1) Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this teaching assistant and course.
- Cho is a great TA. She is a very kind and understanding person. She is also very knowledgeable about the course material; she would do example homework problems during section meetings which was super helpful!

# Profile

Subunit: POL SCI  
 Name of the instructor: S. CHO  
 Name of the course: 22F: POL SCI 30 DIS 1K: POLITICS & STRATEGY  
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

## 2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:



## 3. Your View of Section Characteristics:

